



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,228	11/07/2001	Deborah S. Schnur	169.12-0507	6958
164	7590 01/27/2003			
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.			EXAMINER	
312 SOUTH T	& LANGE BUILDING HIRD STREET		DOLAN, JENNIFER M	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55415-1002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			JAN .
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 4
Advisory Action	10/008,228	SCHNUR ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer M. Dolan	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this 1) a timely filed amendme	s application. A proper reply ent which places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	the mailing date of the final rejecti HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the correspor f the shortened statutory period fice later than three months after	nding amount of the fee. The appi I for reply originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding num	nber of finally rejected claim	ıS.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Section 2.		en considered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: MDM			•
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration			
8.☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>12 Dece</u> Examiner.	<u>ember 2002</u> is a)⊠ appr	oved or b) disapproved	by the
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)./	
10. Other:		4 pul Shitihe	adk
		CARL WHITEHEAD, JR.	.//
		SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAL	
S Palent and Tradamark Office		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2	OUU

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The requirements that the 'means for permitting vertical movement' are exposed at the disc opposing face, or that the interface has a disc opposing face have not appeared in the prior version of the claims, and would thus require an additional search..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant only argues against the rejections in the prior office action in light of the proposed, but not-entered amendment, but does not offer any arguments against the validity of the rejections of the claims in the prior office action.